

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/976,523	DORSEY, MICHAEL C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John P Trimmings	2133	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) John P Trimmings.

(3) _____.

 (2) Karen Ashby for Erik Heter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2004
Time: 11:00 AM
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone interview with Karen Ashby, Mr. Heter's legal assistant, it was agreed that Claim 24, last two lines, would be amended to read, "setting a bit in the multiple input signature register indicating whether results stored therein are from a previous logic built-in self-test run.". And Claim 29, last line, the misspelled word "thererin" should be corrected to read, "therein"..